

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**v.**

**EDWARD STINSON, ET AL.**

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**Crim. No. 17-71-01  
Crim. No. 17-72-01&03**

---

**ORDER**

Defendant Edward Stinson filed Motions to Suppress statements he made during two custodial interrogations: (1) an interrogation by the Philadelphia Police Department on March 30, 2015; and (2) an interrogation by the FBI on February 16, 2017. (Doc. No. 250, Crim. No. 17-71; Doc. No. 179, Crim. No. 17-72.) On November 3, 2017, the Government informed the Court that it did not intend to introduce Defendant's March 30, 2015 statement at trial. (Doc. No. 198, Crim. No. 17-72.) Accordingly, I denied Defendant's Motion to Suppress in part as moot. (Doc. No. 331, Crim. No. 17-72.)

On September 14, 2018, I held an evidentiary hearing on Defendant's Motion to Suppress his February 16, 2017 statement to the FBI. (Doc. No. 386, Crim. No. 17-72.) Following the hearing, the Parties submitted proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. (Doc. Nos. 400, 401, 403, Crim. No. 17-72.) In its Response to Defendant's proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, the Government asked the Court to deny Defendant's Motion as moot because it "does not anticipate offering into evidence in its case-in-chief any statements made by Stinson during his post-arrest interview on February 16, 2017." (Doc. No. 408, Crim. No. 17-72.) The Government also informed the Court that it does not intend to introduce Defendant's statements in Criminal Case 17-71. Defendant has agreed that his Motions should be denied as moot. (Doc. No. 429, Crim. No. 17-71.) Accordingly, I will deny Defendant's Motions to Suppress as moot.

**AND NOW**, this 4th day of January, 2019, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motions (Doc. No. 250, Crim. No. 17-71; Doc. No. 179, Crim. No. 17-72.) are **DENIED as moot**.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**

*/s/ Paul S. Diamond*

---

Paul S. Diamond, J.